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ABSTRACT

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a highly heterogenous disease. Apart from arthritis and psoriasis, other manifestations can also occur, including enthesitis, dactylitis, axial-, nail-, eye- and bowel- involvement. Comorbidities are also frequent in the setting of PsA, with cardiovascular disease and mental-health disorders being the most frequent. The Rheumatologist’s arsenal has many different treatment options for treating PsA. Despite their effectiveness, there are some differences in terms of efficacy and safety that might affect clinician’s decision for one or the other drug. Comparing biologic DMARDs and JAK-inhibitors, one could say that they have similar effectiveness in terms of musculoskeletal manifestations. However, anti-IL-17 and anti-IL-23 drugs seem to be more effective for skin manifestations. In contrast, JAK-inhibitors and etanercept might be less effective for these manifestations. Inflammatory bowel disease and uveitis are non-responsive to etanercept and anti-IL-17 drugs. As regards to comorbidities, data are scarce, but future studies will shed light on possible differential effect of bDMARDs or JAK-inhibitors. Safety is always an important drive for choosing the appropriate treatment. Infections are the most common adverse event of these drugs. Etanercept and anti-IL-17 drugs are safer for patients having latent tuberculosis, while herpes zoster is more common in individuals receiving JAK-inhibitors. Finally, venous thromboembolism risk, should be taken into account when JAK-inhibitors are used. In this review, we comparatively present, as outlined above, the various aspects that could affect the choice of the appropriate bDMARD or JAK-inhibitor for the treatment of a PsA patient.
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INTRODUCTION

Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) is an inflammatory arthritis classified into the group of spondyloarthritides (SpA), affecting approximately one-third of patients with psoriasis. In the general population, the prevalence of PsA ranges from 0.1% to 0.25%.1 It is a multifaceted disease, including peripheral arthritis, axial involvement with sacroiliitis and/or spondylitis, enthesitis, dactylitis, skin and nail lesions, while extra-articular manifestations such as uveitis and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are not rare through-out disease course.2 PsA is often accompanied by other clinical conditions. Cardiometabolic comorbidities such as obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), dyslipidemia, and hypertension are prominent.3 In fact, a recent meta-analysis, has shown
that about 30% of PsA patients meet the diagnosis for metabolic syndrome (MetS).\(^4\) Also, depression is a co-morbidity frequently observed with a prevalence ranging between 15% and 20%\(^2\).

Pathophysiology of PsA is based on a complex interplay between environmental stimuli (infections, trauma, smoking, stress etc.), gut microbiome and mechanical stress, in genetically predisposed individuals.\(^5\) Upon this background, myeloid dendritic cells activated by interferon (IFN)-\(\alpha\) and other pro-inflammatory mediators produced by innate immune cells (plasmacytoid dendritic cells and natural killer T cells, macrophages, keratinocytes), drive through IL-12 and IL-23 to Th1 and Th17 responses, respectively. The former lead to production of TNF-\(\alpha\), IFN-\(\gamma\) and the latter of IL-17, IL-22.\(^6\) Subsequently, these cytokines mediate their effect in a variety of cells, like resident skin cells, synovial tissue cells, osteoblasts and osteoclasts, leading to disease initiation and/or perpetuations.\(^5\)

There are several therapeutic options in PsA, including NSAIDs, glucocorticoids, conventional disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (cDMARDs; methotrexate, sulfasalazine, leflunomide, cyclosporin), targeted synthetic (ts) DMARDs [JAK-inhibitors (JAKi) and apremilast] and biologic DMARDs (bDMARDs), including regimes against TNF, Interleukin (IL)-17 and IL-23.

Treatment, especially with bDMARDs, in patients with PsA has come with some concerns for safety, including risk for malignancies, infections and cardiovascular events. Choice of the drug is based on several features, like efficacy in the various facets of PsA, comorbidities, contraindications (eg, NSAIDs and gastric ulcers), safety profile (eg, some biologics are safer for TB than the others) and other aspects like route of administration. Aim of our review is to describe how the clinician chooses the appropriate treatment, among bDMARDs and JAKi (also known as JAKinibs), based on the above-mentioned axes.

**COMPARISON OF EFFICACY**

Evidence from clinical trials and observational studies have revealed differences regarding the efficacy of bDMARDs and JAKi in various aspects of PsA (Figure 1).

**Peripheral Arthritis**

European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR), American College of Rheumatology (ACR) and Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA) guidelines suggest that treatment with a cDMARD should be initiated in most PsA patients with active peripheral arthritis.\(^7-9\) For those who have inadequate response or cannot tolerate cDMARDs, a bDMARD should be commenced. Regarding articular outcomes, all TNF-inhibitors (TNFi), IL-17 inhibitors, ustekinumab (an IL-12/23 inhibitor), guselkumab (an IL-23 inhibitor) and tofacitinib (a JAK1-3 inhibitor) have been proven effective in clinical trials. In a recent meta-analysis, TNFi, IL-17 inhibitors and ustekinumab had all increased ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70 responses versus placebo, with comparable risk ratios.\(^10\) Secukinumab exhibited similar efficacy with adalimumab in arthritis outcomes in EXCEED study, a head-to-head double-blind randomised control trial.\(^11\) As for ixekizumab, another IL-17 inhibitor, it showed numerically similar results to adalimumab in bDMARD-naïve patients with active PsA in SPIRIT-P1 study.\(^12\) To be mentioned, the latter study was not powered to directly compare the two drugs.\(^10,12\)

Ustekinumab initially demonstrated numerically lower efficacy than other bDMARDs, by indirect comparison, as measured by ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70 in pivotal

---

**Figure 1.** Efficacy of the various treatment modalities in various aspects of PsA. Green: efficacy, dark green: category is superior compared to the others, white: inefficacy or contraindication, orange: trials are underway, yellow: limited efficacy or use with caution. *Marketed for uveitis: only adalimumab; **Marketed for Crohn's disease: adalimumab, infliximab, certolizumab, ustekinumab. Marketed for ulcerative colitis: adalimumab, infliximab, golimumab, ustekinumab, tofacitinib. VTE: venous thromboembolism; PE: pulmonary embolism; JAKi: JAK inhibitors.
As for tofacitinib, its articular effectiveness is comparable to most bDMARDs. However, a recent network meta-analysis showed that in TNF-naive patients, etanercept, golimumab and infliximab seem to achieve better ACR20 responses compared to tofacitinib, while in TNF-inadequate responders (TNF-IR) PsA patients, certolizumab has been found to perform better than tofacitinib in peripheral arthritis management. Gusekumab was recently approved by FDA for the treatment of active PsA. In pivotal studies DISCOVER-1 and DISCOVER-2, gusekumab exhibited ACR20 response in 52-64% of patients with active PsA at 24 weeks, but no direct comparison with other drugs is available till now. Other IL-23 inhibitors, such as risankizumab and tildrakizumab, are currently under investigation for the treatment of active PsA.

Finally, all TNFi, IL-17 inhibitors, ustekinumab and tofacitinib are associated with improvement of physical functioning in PsA patients, as assessed by health assessment questionnaire-disability index (HAQ-DI). TNFi did not differ significantly from newer agents (inhibitors of IL-17 and IL-23) in this parameter (pooled risk ratio of 0.29 [95% CI –0.39 to –0.19] versus –0.26 [95% CI –0.31 to –0.22]). To be mentioned, in TNF-naive patients, secukinumab 150mg/4weeks did not lead to significant change of HAQ-DI from baseline. Finally, numerically comparable reduction in HAQ-DI values was reported with ixekizumab and adalimumab in SPIRIT-P1 study.

Axial disease
Scarce data are available for bDMARD effectiveness in psoriatic spondylitis. MAXIMISE is the only clinical trial with focus on axial disease in patients with PsA. In this study, both secukinumab doses 150mg and 300mg/4 weeks, after loading dose, achieved statistically significant ASAS20 response compared to placebo (19). In patients with PsA, treatment with etanercept led to improvement in Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Functional Index (BASFI) scores. Based on data from AxSpA (AxSpA), EULAR and ACR suggest that PsA patients with axial disease should start a TNFi after NSAIDs failure; an IL-17 inhibitor is the suggested alternative if there are contraindications for TNFi or severe skin involvement. Noteworthy, blockade of IL-23 is not effective in AxSpA, as indicated from negative results from ustekinumab and guselkumab trials. However, it still remains to be defined whether results regarding therapeutic efficacy are transferable from AxSpA to axial-PsA. Finally, several JAKinibs are currently under investigation for the treatment of AxSpA, with promising initial results. Tofacitinib achieved significantly higher (Assessment of SpondyloArthritis-20) ASAS20 and ASAS40 rates compared to placebo in a phase II trial in bDMARD-naive patients with active ankylosing spondylitis.

Psoriasis
All TNFi, IL-17 inhibitors, IL-12/IL-23 and IL-23 inhibitors are approved for plaque psoriasis. As shown in a recent meta-analysis, Psoriasis Area Severity Index (PASI) 75 and PASI90 responses were comparable between TNFi (considered as a class), IL-17 inhibitors and ustekinumab in patients with PsA. Another meta-analysis showed that IL-17 inhibitors, IL-23 inhibitors and infliximab are associated with increased rates of PASI90, compared to ustekinumab and other TNFi. Importantly, etanercept has shown lower rates of PASI75 response than the rest TNFi, while adalimumab proved better than certolizumab in achieving PASI90 response. Moreover, etanercept was proven inferior to ustekinumab, secukinumab and ixekizumab in psoriasis. Ustekinumab performed better than TNFi in psoriatic skin disease (p=0.03) in ECLIPSA study. Newer IL-23 inhibitors, especially guselkumab and risankizumab, have achieved impressive PASI75 and PASI90 scores, even better than ustekinumab, in clinical trials. As expected, guselkumab was superior to adalimumab in a head-to-head comparison in psoriasis patients. Taking the above data into account, IL-17 and IL-12/23 inhibitors are preferred over TNFi in PsA patients with severe skin disease, according to EULAR guidelines.

Tofacitinib, on the other hand, seems inferior to bDMARDs in skin manifestations of PsA, especially in the dose of 5mg BID. Based on a recently published meta-analysis, golimumab, infliximab and ixekizumab were associated with increased PASI75 response compared to tofacitinib in TNFi-naive patients. In TNF-IR patients, tofacitinib 5mg BID did not differ significantly from placebo in PASI75 rates.

Enthesitis
Enthesitis has been characterised as a hallmark of PsA, occurring in about 35-50% of patients. Enthesitis in clinical trials is usually quantified with Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score (MASES) index or Leeds Enthesitis Index (LEI). NSAIDs are widely used in active enthesitis in clinical practice, but are less effective in chronic enthesitis. As cDMARD have been proven ineffective in the treatment of enthesitis, EULAR, ACR and GRAPPA guidelines suggest the initiation of a bDMARD in patients with active enthesitis.
All TNFi, IL-17 inhibitors secukinumab and ixekizumab, ustekinumab and tofacitinib have exhibited satisfactory results in PsA enthesitis.\textsuperscript{10,15,30,31} ECLIPS\textsuperscript{A} study was the only study with enthesitis resolution as the primary outcome.\textsuperscript{14} In this open-label randomised controlled study, in about 74% of ustekinumab-treated patients and 41.7% of patients treated with a TNFi complete clearance of enthesitis was noted at 24 weeks (p=0.018).\textsuperscript{14} In contrary, a recent meta-analysis showed comparable mean risk ratios for enthesitis resolution between IL-17 inhibitors, TNFi and ustekinumab, compared to placebo (2.31, 1.99 and 1.41, respectively).\textsuperscript{10} Moreover, in another meta-analysis, TNFi demonstrated similar rates of enthesitis resolution at week 24, compared to IL-17 and IL12/23 inhibitors.\textsuperscript{15} In EXCEED, a head-to-head clinical trial of secukinumab versus adalimumab in PsA, both drugs had similar rates of enthesitis remission, as defined by LEI (61% vs 54%, p=0.150).\textsuperscript{11} Moreover, ixekizumab showed numerically greater enthesitis improvement versus adalimumab, especially in the dose of 80mg every 2 weeks, in the SPIRIT-P1 study.\textsuperscript{12} Tofacitinib in the dose of 5mg twice daily had numerically lower improvement in LEI compared to adalimumab at 3 months, but the results of the two drugs were comparable at month 12.\textsuperscript{31} Thus, tofacitinib in the approved dose of 5mg BID might have a more delayed effect on enthesitis than adalimumab. Finally, in clinical trials, guselkumab led in enthesitis resolution in 40-50% at 24 weeks, depending on the dose applied (17, 18). Similar or even better results were reported on 56 weeks in a phase II trial.\textsuperscript{32}

**Dactylitis**

Dactylitis is a characteristic manifestation of PsA and occurs in about half patients during the course of the disease.\textsuperscript{33} Although no controlled studies have been conducted regarding the efficacy of NSAIDs and local corticosteroids in dactylitis,\textsuperscript{33} these agents are frequently used by clinicians. As digital inflammation most times accompanies a generally active articular disease, EULAR and GRAPPA suggest that initiation of a cDMARD, mainly methotrexate, should be considered.\textsuperscript{7,9} Monoclonal antibody TNFi (certolizumab, infliximab, golimumab and adalimumab), IL-17 inhibitors, ustekinumab and tofacitinib have been proven effective on dactylitis in clinical trials.\textsuperscript{16,33} GO-DACT was the only trial that a dactylitis score change was the primary outcome.\textsuperscript{34} This trial demonstrated that the combination of golimumab plus methotrexate exhibited greater improvement of dactylitis, compared to methotrexate monotherapy, in methotrexate- and bDMARD-naive patients.\textsuperscript{34} Although in clinical trials of ustekinumab a favourable effect on dactylitis was shown,\textsuperscript{35} a recent meta-analysis showed that the reduction of dactylitis was not statistical significant.\textsuperscript{10} On the other hand, in the same meta-analysis, IL-17 inhibitors and TNFi were both proved effective in dactylitis resolution (2.65 and 2.07 risk ratio versus placebo, respectively).\textsuperscript{10} It seems that TNFi and IL-17/IL-12/23 inhibitors have comparable efficacy in dactylitis.\textsuperscript{15} In EXCEED study, secukinumab and adalimumab did not differ significantly in dactylitis resolution rates (75% vs 70%, p=0.356).\textsuperscript{11} In addition, in SPIRIT-P1 study, ixekizumab and adalimumab showed similar rates of dactylitis amelioration.\textsuperscript{12} Finally, tofacitinib and adalimumab have also comparable results in Dactylitis Severity Score change in cDMARD-IR patients.\textsuperscript{16,31} To be noted, guselkumab was also proved effective in dactylitis; in guselkumab-treated PsA patients with dactylitis at baseline, 59-65% had remission of digital inflammation at 24 weeks.\textsuperscript{17,18} These results improved further at 56 weeks.\textsuperscript{32}

**Nail involvement**

About 50% of psoriasis patients and 80% of patients with PsA have nail involvement.\textsuperscript{30,39} Nail Psoriasis Severity Index (NAPSI) has been utilized in most studies to quantify the extent of nail psoriasis. All TNFi, IL-17 inhibitors, ustekinumab, guselkumab, and tofacitinib have shown effectiveness in nail disease. EXPRESS study showed significant reduction in NAPSI score at weeks 10 and 24 of treatment with infliximab in patients with psoriasis.\textsuperscript{37} Data from psoriasis trials suggest that etanercept is effective in nail psoriasis.\textsuperscript{38} Adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab have been proven effective in treating nail involvement in patients with PsA.\textsuperscript{12,38-40} Ustekinumab improves nail disease in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis in 24 weeks and the improvement continued until week 52 of treatment.\textsuperscript{41} Sustained and strong improvement of nail psoriasis has been recently reported with secukinumab treatment.\textsuperscript{52} Moreover, significant NAPSI score improvement was reported in ixekizumab-treated PsA patients, numerically comparable to adalimumab.\textsuperscript{12} Importantly, guselkumab was also proven very effective in nail psoriasis, without significant difference from adalimumab.\textsuperscript{45} Finally, improvement in nail psoriasis has been reported with 16 weeks tofacitinib treatment and the result was maintained for at least 52 weeks.\textsuperscript{44}

**Inflammatory bowel disease**

About 3.3% of PsA cases might express clinically evident IBD development,\textsuperscript{45} while subclinical intestinal inflammation can be detected in up to 40% of PsA patients.\textsuperscript{46} Only few data have been published regarding effectiveness of available drugs in PsA patients with concurrent IBD (Figure 1). Thus, management of these patients is based on data from IBD trials. Monoclonal antibody TNFi and ustekinumab are approved for the treatment of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.\textsuperscript{43,45} In 70 patients with IBD and psoriasis or PsA, the majority of patients achieved clinical remission of intestinal, skin and articular manifestations with ustekinumab.\textsuperscript{47} Etanercept and inhibitors of IL-17 have been proven ineffective in the treatment of IBD.\textsuperscript{23,48}
On this basis, ACR recommends that PsA patients with concomitant IBD are preferably treated with a monoclonal antibody TNFi or ustekinumab.\(^8\) Nevertheless, the risk of IBD flare or new-onset IBD in patients treated with secukinumab is low.\(^9\) Regarding tofacitinib, it has been approved for active ulcerative colitis, so this makes tofacitinib a useful alternative in patients with PsA and ulcerative colitis. In contrary, tofacitinib has not been effective in Crohn’s disease.\(^5\) Finally, ongoing phase III clinical trials will examine the efficacy of guselkumab in Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.

Eye involvement
From ocular manifestations of PsA, anterior uveitis is the most common and can affect up to 25% of PsA patients.\(^5\) Data on management of specific PsA-associated uveitis are lacking, thus, treatment modalities used for SpA-associated uveitis are applied. Adalimumab has been approved for non-infectious uveitis (Figure 1) and, along with infliximab, are the most potent bDMARDs in the treatment of SpA-associated uveitis.\(^5\) Certolizumab and golimumab have shown promising results in reducing uveitis flares,\(^3\) in contrary, etanercept was ineffective in uveitis (compared to adalimumab and infliximab) and might be associated with a slightly increased incidence.\(^5\) In a pooled analysis of 118 patients with non-infectious uveitis, secukinumab failed to reduce recurrence of ocular inflammation, but contributed in immunosuppressants use reduction.\(^5\) Ustekinumab and tofacitinib showed promising results in case reports and are currently under study in ongoing clinical trials.\(^5\) Ixekizumab and guselkumab have not been studied yet in non-infectious uveitis.\(^5\) Based on the aforementioned data, EULAR recommendations suggest that PsA patients with uveitis are treated with a monoclonal antibody against TNF, as a first- and second-line treatment.\(^3\) Moreover, as uveitis can respond to methotrexate, ACR recommends the use of combination of bDMARD with methotrexate instead of bDMARD monotherapy in patients with PsA-associated uveitis.\(^8\)

COMORBIDITIES IN PSA: EFFICACY OF bDMARDS AND JAK-INHIBITORS

Cardiometabolic comorbidities and associated factors: obesity, DM, NAFLD, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular diseases
As mentioned above metabolic comorbidities and associated risk factors (eg, obesity, impaired glucose tolerance, dyslipidemia) are commonly encountered in PsA and strongly linked with morbidity and mortality in this setting.\(^3\)

Obesity
Obesity is identified as an independent risk factor for PsA development in patients with psoriasis, as well as in healthy individuals.\(^5\) Additionally, adipose tissue and its mediators (ie, adipokines) seem to contribute to the perpetuation of inflammation in these patients. There is some evidence that anti-TNF treatment might result in weight gain, however it is not clear whether it concerns an increase in fat or free-fat mass.\(^6\) On the other hand, obesity is poor predictor for treatment response.\(^6\) Treatment with TNFi is found to be less effective for achieving and sustaining remission.\(^5\) A recent study by Ogdie et al revealed that among other factors, obesity was negatively associated with disease remission in patients starting TNFi (OR = 0.51, 95% CI 0.32–0.81).\(^6\) Furthermore, cohort studies based on Danish and Icelandic biologics registries including over 1000 PsA patients pointed out that obesity was a risk factor for TNFi withdrawal owing to reduced response.\(^6\) Data for other biologics in obese PsA patients are limited. There are findings indicating that Th17 cells and IL-17 play some role in the obesity-related inflammatory processes.\(^6\) Pantano et al. prospectively analysed 100 PsA patients receiving secukinumab for 6 months and found that overweight/obese patients (BMI≥25) had better clinical response (estimated using Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis [DAPSA] score) than those with BMI<25 (p=0.05).\(^6\) In contrast, results from a retrospective study in PsO patients show inferior efficacy of secukinumab in those with BMI ≥ 30.\(^6\)

Diabetes Mellitus
DM is more prevalent among PsA patients, especially in women with more active disease, compared with the general population. The pathogenic linkage between PsA and DM is multifactorial. Among other cytokines, TNF-α plays a critical role leading to insulin resistance (IR) and higher levels of active endogenous cortisol, affecting in turn, glycose metabolism. Also, TNF-α downregulates adipokines which normally increase insulin sensitivity and have anti-atherogenic properties.\(^6\) Also, type 2 DM has been correlated with increased circulating Th17 cells and IL-17 levels,\(^6\) while the pleomorphic actions of JAKi do not allow any definite conclusions about their impact in glucose homeostasis. Although there is some evidence that TNFi might have some beneficial effect, it appears that treatment with bDMARDs, does not significantly alter glucose homeostasis. A prospective study, including PsA patients without DM, demonstrated that treatment with TNFi (adalimumab, infliximab and etanercept) up to 6 months, did not change fasting glucose levels (FGL).\(^6\) On the other hand, commencing TNFi in patients with inflammatory arthritis has been shown to lead in reductions in HbA1c. This effect, however, had comparable magnitude with patients receiving methotrexate (MTX), implying that this reduction might not be TNFi-specific.\(^6\) As regards IL-17-blocking reagents, thus far, large-scale studies including PsA/PsO patients receiving monoclo-
nal antibodies against IL-17A (ixekizumab, secukinumab) have not found any effect on glucose metabolism.70-73
Finally, data derived from two phase 3 studies (OPAL Broaden and OPAL Beyond) in PsA patients receiving JAKi, indicate that, irrespective of baseline metabolic state, tofacitinib was effective for patients with active PsA.74

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
NAFLD comprises a spectrum of liver disease ranging from hepatic steatosis, steatohepatitis and liver fibrosis (LF) to cirrhosis and potentially carcinoma.75 In a meta-analysis the risk of NAFLD compared to non-psoriatic controls was elevated in patients with psoriasis and more pronounced in PsA patients (OR: 2.25, 95% IC: 1.37-3.71).76 Besides, insulin resistance and MetS are predisposing factors for NAFLD occurrence.77 It is not clear whether liver disease in PsA is promoted by the treatment administered -especially with methotrexate- or is associated with disease itself.56 Seitz et al. showed that the combination of TNFi with methotrexate (MTX) has a protective effect against development of LF in PsA patients compared to MTX monotherapy,78 while in a retrospective study, using data derived from claims database, TNFi use was not associated with a protective effect for PsA patients.79 Hitherto, there are no data about the possible role of IL-17 inhibitors or other bDMARDs in NAFLD/hepatic steatosis, although there are some data supporting that Th-17 and IL-17 promote hepatic steatosis and inflammation in NAFLD patients.80

Dyslipidemia
Dyslipidemia is also a common feature in PsA. However, the abnormalities in the lipid profiles in these patients are ill-defined. Findings from a limited number of studies support that PsA patients, display lower levels of TC, HDL-C and LDL-C, but higher levels of TG compared to individuals without PsA.61 It is also unknown if the lipid paradox described in RA,52 operates also in PsA. Studies about the effect of bDMARDs in lipid profile of PsA patients are lacking. In a cohort study including 118 PsA patients, 5-years treatment with etanercept led to a modest increase of TC, HDL-C and LDL-C, TC/HDL-C ratio remained unchanged, whereas ApoB/ApoA-I ratio decreased implying thus a cardioprotective effect.83

Cardiovascular Disease
It is well recognized that cardiovascular risk is increased in patients with inflammatory arthritis.51,84 Many hypotheses have been formed to explain it; however, chronic inflammation appears to be the main culprit. In particular for PsA, available data from a meta-analysis indicate that it is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and 55% higher risk of developing an incident cardiovascular event (myocardial infarction [MI], cerebrovascular diseases and heart failure [HF]), compared with the general population.85 The higher cardiovascular risk cannot be fully explained by the traditional cardiovascular risk factors and chronic systemic inflammation seems to contribute. To that end, role of classical pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-6 and TNF is better recognized, while data about IL-17 and/or IL-23 and atherosclerosis are still contradictory.3,96 It seems that except from controlling traditional cardiovascular risk factors, amelioration of systemic inflammation by bDMARD treatment plays important role in reducing cardiovascular risk in these patients.
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Dyslipidemia is also a common feature in PsA. However, the abnormalities in the lipid profiles in these patients are ill-defined. Findings from a limited number of studies support that PsA patients, display lower levels of TC, HDL-C and LDL-C, but higher levels of TG compared to individuals without PsA.61 It is also unknown if the lipid paradox described in RA,52 operates also in PsA. Studies about the effect of bDMARDs in lipid profile of PsA patients are lacking. In a cohort study including 118 PsA patients, 5-years treatment with etanercept led to a modest increase of TC, HDL-C and LDL-C, TC/HDL-C ratio remained unchanged, whereas ApoB/ApoA-I ratio decreased implying thus a cardioprotective effect.83

Cardiovascular Disease
It is well recognized that cardiovascular risk is increased in patients with inflammatory arthritis.51,84 Many hypotheses have been formed to explain it; however, chronic inflammation appears to be the main culprit. In particular for PsA, available data from a meta-analysis indicate that it is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and 55% higher risk of developing an incident cardiovascular event (myocardial infarction [MI], cerebrovascular diseases and heart failure [HF]), compared with the general population.85 The higher cardiovascular risk cannot be fully explained by the traditional cardiovascular risk factors and chronic systemic inflammation seems to contribute. To that end, role of classical pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-6 and TNF is better recognized, while data about IL-17 and/or IL-23 and atherosclerosis are still contradictory.3,96 It seems that except from controlling traditional cardiovascular risk factors, amelioration of systemic inflammation by bDMARD treatment plays important role in reducing cardiovascular risk in these patients.

Mental Health Disorders
Anxiety and depression are frequent comorbidities in the setting of PsA2 and have been linked with worse clinical outcomes and lower probability of achieving disease remission.50 Although they can be owed to devastating clinical symptomatology, pain and reduced quality of life,
they are mechanistically linked with inflammatory processes and mediators like IL-6 and TNF. Data are not robust for PsA, but it seems that treatment with bDMARDs has beneficial effect also on concomitant mental health disorders. Kappelmann et al. in a meta-analysis showed that adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab and tocilizumab had beneficial effect in depressive symptomatology, in a variety of immune-mediated diseases.\(^9^4\) Interestingly, in a recent mega-analysis it was found that IL-12/-23 and IL-6 blockers demonstrated larger effects on depression occurring in immune-mediated diseases, compared to other bDMARDs.\(^9^5\)

**SAFETY**

**Infections**

Infections are probably the most well recognised concern about the use of b- and ts-DMARDs. Overall, there are no differences for serious infections across bDMARDs and JAKinibs.\(^9^6\) However, a recent interim analysis of a still ongoing study (A3921133) comparing tofacitinib with adalimumab showed that serious infections were increased for JAKi in individuals aged more than 65 years old. Subsequently, EMA recommended that tofacitinib should be used in this subgroup of patients only when there is no other alternative.\(^9^7\) Another study analysing data from RA RCTs and CORRONA registry showed that serious infections for patients treated with tofacitinib was similar to adalimumab for 5mg twice a day (bid) dosing scheme, but higher than adalimumab for 10mg bid.\(^9^8\) More data will be accumulated over the next years. However, 10mg bid should be avoided in people aged over 65 years or those with an increased risk for infections.

Finally, some regimes are safer than others regarding specific infections, as outlined below.

**Tuberculosis**

Tuberculosis (TB) has been identified as the most common opportunistic infection among patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases. Actually, it is well known that latent TB reactivation or de novo cases of TB are associated with TNFi treatment\(^9^9,1^0^0\) offering a 4-8 times higher risk. This is further increased in endemic regions for TB.\(^1^0^1\) This association could be explained having in mind the essential role of TNF-a and IFN-y for immune cells’ recruitment, phagocytosis of mycobacteria and granuloma formation.\(^1^0^2,1^0^3\) Of note, therapy with soluble TNF receptor (etanercept) is less likely to cause TB compared to anti-TNF monoclonal antibody (mAb) agents.\(^1^0^4\) Other cytokines, like interferons, IL-12, IL-17, IL-22 (105) are also implicated in immune response to mycobacterial infection. However, available data derived from clinical trials and post-marketing surveillance for IL-17-targeted agents in PsA and PsO patients suggest that the risk for TB infection/reactivation, upon treatment with these regimes is not high.\(^1^0^6,1^0^7\) Furthermore, despite the protective role of IL-12 and IL-23 against mycobacterium tuberculosis,\(^1^0^9\) no cases of active TB have been reported in PsA patients treated with ustekinumab,\(^1^1^0,1^1^1\) neither with selective anti-IL-23 mAbs.\(^1^1^2\) Finally, JAK proteins intervene in IL-12/IL-23 and IFN-y signalling\(^1^1^3\) and mutations in relevant genes are deemed to be responsible for vulnerability to mycobacterial infections.\(^1^1^4\) Studies in RA patients indicate that the incidence of TB with tofacitinib is comparable to what observed with TNFi.\(^1^1^5\) Moreover, the risk seems to parallel with higher drug doses and depends on the regional prevalence of TB. As for PsA patients, data are limited and short-term safety results from clinical trials do not report cases of TB under JAKi therapy.\(^1^1^6\)

To sum up, although some regimes (eg, etanercept or IL-17/IL-23 inhibitors) might be safer than others (Figure 2), in everyday clinical practice, screening for latent TB should be recommended for all patients before initiating bDMARDs and JAKi.\(^1^1^7\)

**Herpes Zoster**

Herpes zoster (HZ) primary infection or reactivation has been reported as an adverse event closely linked with JAKi. The underlying pathogenetic mechanisms for this are not entirely clear. However, we know that JAK-STAT pathways are integral parts of adaptive immune response to intracellular pathogens, like viruses and that JAK family proteins are involved in many steps of this virus life cycle.\(^1^1^8\) It is clear that the risk of HZ reactivation with JAKi is higher compared to bDMARDs (Figure 2). A real-world study in RA patients found approximately double incidence rate (IR) of HZ with tofacitinib compared to TNFi, abatacept, rituximab and tocilizumab. HZ reactivation in this context is mild, being usually, but not always, limited to a single dermatome.\(^1^1^8\) Risk factors augmenting the HZ reactivation risk include female sex, age, depression, low CD4+ cell count, smoking, and prior HZ infection.

---

**Figure 2.** Adverse events of bDMARDs and JAKi in PsA. Green: safe (taking into account all necessary screening procedures and prophylaxis); dark green: better safety profile compared to the others; yellow: use with caution.
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age ≥65 years, concomitant or previous corticosteroid therapy (prednisolone >7.5mg per day), tofacitinib dose and Asian ancestry.119-121 Additionally, the risk seems to be lower in patients receiving tofacitinib-monotherapy, compared to those treated with combination therapy with cDMARDs.122 Finally, it is still debatable, whether some JAKi are safer than the others in terms of HZ reactivation, although this association seems to be a class effect. The risk seems to be comparable between RA and PsA patients.123 In conclusion, JAKi should be avoided in patients who have a past medical history of HZ infections, while it is unclear whether re-introduction of therapy with JAKi is a reasonable option after HZ reactivation.

**Fungal infections**

Risk for candidiasis is increased in patients receiving anti-IL-17 reagents, resulting in adjusted incidence rates of 0.4-2.2/100 patient-years.106,123 This is probably due to the central role of IL-17 in the defence against fungal infections.124 Of note, candidiasis in this setting is usually mild and does not lead to treatment discontinuation.

**Malignancies**

Malignancy rates in PsA receiving treatment with bDMARDs or JAKi seem to be similar to what observed in the general population, except from non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC), which prevalence has been found to be increased. There are not observed differences across different drug categories and screening strategies are not yet defined in patients receiving bDMARDs/tSDMARDs.117,125-128

**Venous Thromboembolism**

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) and pulmonary embolisms (PE) are two adverse events that have been described in the context of treatment with JAKi (Figure 2). So far, data are more solid for tofacitinib, for which EMA recommended that should not be used at the 10mg bid dose for ulcerative colitis, unless there is no other option. Newer data from an interim analysis of open label trial (A3921133 study) of RA patients older than 50 years old, showed that the risk for PE was 3 and 6 times higher for tofacitinib 5 and 10mg bid, respectively.97 This has led EMA to recommend that tofacitinib should be used with caution for all dosing schemes and indications, when risk factors for cardiovascular or thromboembolic disease (eg, obesity, diabetes, prolonged immobility) concur. For baricitinib, data are less robust with VTEs being numerically higher in studies assessing the efficacy of this drug.129,130 Food and Drug administration (FDA), has approved only the lower (2mg/day) dosing scheme for rheumatoid arthritis. For other JAKi, more data are needed before we can draw a conclusion whether VTE/PE is a class effect.

Heart failure (HF)

Although biologics offer benefit in terms of cardiovascular outcomes, including myocardial infarction and cardiovascular events, severe heart failure (NYHA class III and IV) is a relative contraindication for treatment with TNFi.131 In a recent meta-analysis investigating the effects of various medications used in inflammatory arthritis in cardiovascular outcomes, no effect of TNFi was found on occurrence of heart failure.106 As the authors state though, this could be owed in a selection bias, as clinicians would avoid these regimes in patients with heart failure.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, there are several features that can affect clinician’s decision for one or the other bDMARD, Anti-IL-17 and anti-IL-23 are better than other bDMARDs for patients with severe psoriasis, while for arthritis, enthesitis and dactylitis, no major differences are noted. IL-17 blockers should be avoided for IBD, while TNFi (except for etanercept) seem to be the better option, so far, for eye involvement. For comorbidities, evidence is still scarce, but future studies might show some benefit for some of the drugs used for PsA treatment. Safety is always a drive for choosing the appropriate treatment. Etanercept, anti-IL-17 and anti-IL-23 seem to be safer regarding TB, while HZ as well as VTE/PE should be taken into account when JAKi are prescribed. Apparently, this clinically oriented review does not disregard the phenotypic variety of PsA. Data from studies using newer technologies (eg, omics) will help to better identify subgroups within PsA and thus, guide tailor treatment approach.
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